

Key concern	Summary action taken / effect
<p><b>Failure to ensure systems to assess, monitor and mitigate risks to patients are operating effectively</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete review of corporate governance systems and processes</li> <li>• Complete review of corporate risk management, including                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ creation and implementation of Risk Committee</li> <li>○ re-drafting / re-scoring of existing risks</li> <li>○ thorough revision of risk management strategy (for approval by Board in September)</li> <li>○ presentation of revised risk register to Quality &amp; Performance Committee of Board in July and August</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Allocation of executive responsibility for risk function to newly created Director of Clinical Governance role</li> <li>• All Practice Group Directions (PGD) now reviewed, revised as required and up to date                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Plan in place to ensure PGDs managed in timely manner in future</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Plan to ensure fire risk assessments remain up to date now in place</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to ensure systems to monitor and improve care, privacy and dignity of patients are operating effectively</b></p> <p><b>Failure to ensure systems to assess, monitor and improve patient treatment times are met in line with national timescales</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ICT: CIO appointed, three key areas of focus identified                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Improvement / extension of electronic patient records</li> <li>○ Alignment of IT resource to clinical and organisational priorities</li> <li>○ Addressing risks associated with IT infrastructure</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Performance management: Director of Performance Management appointed                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Trust wide and Directorate (inc for ED) scorecards in use and under discussion at relevant meetings (eg, SMT, Quality &amp; Performance Committee, Board)                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Exception reports / action plans required for red items reported at Board</li> <li>▪ New format for Directorate Performance Review meetings from 19.09.16</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Ward scorecards produced for use from 19.09.16</li> <li>○ Subject specific (including Clinical Governance) scorecards drafted and under consideration</li> <li>○ Independent review of 18 week RTT position started 14 Sept</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Structure: Executive Portfolios redefined; responsibilities re-stated                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ PMO created and aligned to support of key Recovery Plan priorities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<p><b>Clinical governance failures across trust; learning from incidents, complaints etc</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creation of, and appointment to, Director of Clinical Governance role</li> <li>• Creation of Clinical Governance Directorate in hand, to include introduction of Clinical Governance Partners to ensure rigour and quality of clinical governance activity and sharing of information and lessons</li> <li>• Planned overhaul of clinical governance function to include standardised terms of reference and standing agenda for directorate clinical governance meetings</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>Volume of patients in RSCH ED corridor area</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduction in use of corridor area:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ June 2016: 15% of all ED patients spent time in corridor, average LoS: 52 mins</li> <li>○ July 2016: 14% of all ED patients spent time in corridor, average LoS: 55 mins</li> <li>○ Aug 2016: 9% of all ED patients spent time in corridor, average LoS: 45 mins                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ NB: some deterioration in this performance during Sept acknowledged</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Standard Operating Procedure in place re: escalation if more than 5 patients in the corridor at any given time</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to assess and act on risks to safety in RSCH ED corridor</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NEWS score assessments of patients in corridor completed in 100% of audited records since mid-August (although 95% week ending 16.09.16)</li> <li>• Patients in corridor limited to those with NEWS score of 4 or more 100% since mid-August)</li> <li>• Mental health risk assessments completed in 100% audited records of patient placed in corridor since mid-August</li> <li>• All suitably experienced staff now trained in triage</li> <li>• Emergency care checklists in place for patients placed in corridor; completed in majority of cases (100% of audited records since 17 August, apart from week ending 2 Sept (97%) and week ending 16 Sept (75%). Dept returning immediately to methods in use during previous weeks)</li> <li>• Average time to initial assessment reduced (acknowledged not at required standard)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 43 mins in August 2016</li> <li>○ 26 mins in September 2016</li> </ul> </li> <li>• PAT system introduced (although not 24/7)</li> </ul>

<p><b>Failure to protect patients' privacy and dignity in RSCH ED corridor</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four new assessment cubicles opened</li> <li>• Three privacy screens purchased for use in corridor; three more on order</li> <li>• Comfort rounds completed for 100% of audited records of patients placed in corridor since 17 August, apart from week ending 2 Sept (97%) and week ending 16 Sept (65%). Returning immediately to methods in use during previous weeks)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Long waits for mental health patients in ED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant engagement with CCG and Mental Health Trust, but limited impact on experience or outcomes for patients</li> <li>• Royal Coll Emergency Medicine mental health audit completed August/September – improvements in all eight indicators since previous audit</li> <li>• Mental Health assessment of patients allocated to corridor completed in 100% of audited records from mid-August</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to comply with RCEM guidelines re: Consultant cover at PRH</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Business case for increased senior presence at PRH agreed</li> <li>• Given national shortage of ED consultants and existing local vacancies, alternative approach to provision of senior cover under development</li> <li>• Additional SHO in place at PRH most evening shifts (currently locum provision, aiming for consistency and all shifts filled)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure of 4 hour ED access standard:</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• April 2016: 84.2%</li> <li>• May 2016: 86.3%</li> <li>• June 2016: 85.1%</li> <li>• July 2016: 84.1%</li> <li>• Aug 2016: 81.27% (closure balcony beds at RSCH, reduced performance at PRH)</li> <li>• Sept 2016: 82.4% (86% week ending 16.09.16)</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>High number of 12 hour breaches:</b>                  Oct 2015 – Jan 2016 52 breaches                  08.04.16 – 31.05.16 15 breaches</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12 hour breaches                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ April 2106: 11</li> <li>○ May 2106: 4</li> <li>○ June 2106: 2</li> <li>○ July 2016: 0</li> <li>○ August 2016: 1</li> <li>○ Sept 2016: 0</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<p><b>Inappropriate use of recovery area at RSCH</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ICU Escalation Policy in force</li> <li>• Inappropriate use of recovery area almost eliminated                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two incidents since policy introduced mid-July</li> <li>○ Each incidence of inappropriate use reported as an incident on Datix and discussed at SMT</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to maintain full range of fire risk assessments</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100% of fire risk assessments now complete</li> <li>• Programme for maintaining compliance in place</li> <li>• Action planning for identified issues underway</li> <li>• Remediation of highest risk items underway, and complete in many areas</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to maintain required standards of fire safety practice</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highest risk beds in Barry Building (“balcony beds”) closed</li> <li>• Ski-sheets purchased and fitted to all beds</li> <li>• Checking of fire exits part of all ward visits by SMT, Execs etc</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to maintain patients’ privacy and dignity in Sussex Eye Hospital / out-patient department</b></p> <p><b>Lack of respect for patient confidentiality in Sussex Eye Hospital / out-patient department</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Snellen charts removed from corridors and provided in appropriate manner in clinic rooms</li> <li>• Building temperature reduced to obviate need for doors to be propped open in hot weather</li> <li>• “Knock and wait” signs applied to clinic doors</li> <li>• IG training amongst OPD staff increased from 63% in June to 83% (acknowledged still below required 95%, trajectory for full compliance in place)</li> <li>• Lockable storage room provided to improve security of records</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to act on risk assessments prior to allocating patients to Barry Building</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highest risk beds (“balcony beds”) closed</li> <li>• Risk assessment process reviewed and revised</li> <li>• Escalation Policy revised</li> <li>• Full review of incidents associated with allocation to Barry wards – no harm identified</li> <li>• Monthly review of all apparent incident of inappropriate allocation started 09.09.16</li> </ul>
<p><b>Poor patient environment in Barry Building</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highest risk beds (“balcony beds”) closed</li> <li>• Works commenced on key wards to ameliorate layout etc</li> <li>• Revisions to housekeeping and estates practice and governance completed                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Patient Environment Committee agreed for establishment by end of Sept)</li> <li>○ Quality checks on housekeeping now unannounced</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approach to calculating and publishing performance against national environment standard introduced</li> <li>• Revised checklist for ward managers introduced</li> <li>• Programme of 1000 hours of SMT visits to all areas per annum commenced – areas of focus to include patient environment</li> </ul>
<b>Failure to comply with hand hygiene standards</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hand hygiene audit compliance now at 97% at RSCH site</li> <li>• Apparent deterioration in performance at PRH (91% - 77%) under investigation</li> <li>• No MRSA bacteraemia cases since 15.07.15, c. diff at annual trajectory +2</li> </ul>
<b>Failure to comply with NHS Protect required standards of security re: prescription pads</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Now compliant             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Un-numbered prescription pads removed from use</li> <li>○ Warning to all staff issued jointly by Chief Medical Officer and Pharmacy Lead</li> <li>○ Numbered prescription pads now kept in safes in OPD when not in use</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Failure to maintain safe levels of neuro-trained staffing in Neuro-ICU</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safe level of service provision calculated in line with availability of neuro-trained, experienced staff             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ service temporarily reduced to seven beds to match staffing</li> <li>○ capacity to increase only in line with increases in numbers of specialist trained staff (resumption of previous level expected around end of November)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Neuro-surgery / ICU education strategy developed and out for consultation</li> <li>• In-house training programme developed and in use</li> </ul>
<b>Failure to meet 18 week RTT 95% standard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 18 week trajectory developed and in use as indicator of improvements             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: performance 75.12% against trajectory of 72.35%</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Reduction in backlog of patients waiting more than 52 weeks             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: 7063 patients who have waited more than 52 weeks against plan of 9363</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Failure to meet cancer 2ww standard (April 2016: 92%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National standard (93%) met since mid-August             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: 94.06%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Failure to meet breast cancer 2ww standard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National standard (93%) met since mid-August             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: 98.57%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Failure to meet lower GI cancer 2ww standard (April 2016 67%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National standard (93%) met since mid-August             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: 95.94%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<p><b>Failure to meet cancer 31 day wait standard</b> (April – Dec 2015 95%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National standard (96%) met since mid-August                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 16.09.16: 98.4%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure to meet cancer 62 day wait standard</b> (April – Dec 2015 82%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trajectory to deliver national standard (85%) in place</li> <li>• Current deviation from trajectory (70.54% performance vs. 80.3% plan) to enable focus on longest waiters.</li> </ul>
<p><b>High numbers of cancelled appointments</b> 82,873 appts cancelled 2015/16</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduction in number of cancellations, although still below trust target of no cancellations within six weeks                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Week ending 02.09.16 – 536 appointments cancelled                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ &lt; 2 weeks' notice: 24 clinics, 106 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 2-6 weeks' notice: 52 clinics, 162 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 6 weeks+ notice: 76 clinics, 268 patients affected</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Week ending 09.09.16 – 296 appointments cancelled                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ &lt; 2 weeks' notice: 16 clinics, 109 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 2-6 weeks' notice: 8 clinics, 39 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 6 weeks+ notice: 24 clinics, 148 patients affected</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Week ending 16.09.16 – 218 appointments cancelled                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ &lt; 2 weeks' notice: 10 clinics, 59 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 2-6 weeks' notice: 13 clinics, 50 patients affected</li> <li>▪ 6 weeks+ notice: 23 clinics, 109 patients affected</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Annual performance based on 19.09.16 data: 11,336 appointments cancelled</li> </ul>
<p><b>Poor performance in respect of cancelled operations not completed within 28 days</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• national average 5%</li> <li>• last data at time of inspection: 15%</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• August performance: 1 cancelled operation not completed within 28 days</li> <li>• Sept performance: 0 cancelled operations not completed within 28 days</li> </ul>